-PS-O-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ANDY KEVIN ROBINSON, A# 46-195-779,

Petitioner,

-V-

DECISION AND ORDER 05-CV-0536A

CHARLES MULE, Director, Immigration and Customs Enforcement,

Petitioner Andy Kevin Robinson, who is currently detained at the Buffalo Federal Detention Facility, has filed a petition pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, challenging a final order of removal of Immigration Judge Miller, issued on February 25, 2005. (Docket No. 1). Petitioner notes that he did not appeal from the order of removal issued by the Immigration Judge. Petitioner also seeks permission to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Docket No. 2) and a stay of removal pending a determination by the Court of the petition (Docket No. 3). For the following reasons, petitioner's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is granted and the petition is dismissed for want of jurisdiction because the REAL ID Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-13, 119 Stat. 231 (codified at 8 U.S.C. § 1252), divested this Court of jurisdiction over petitions for habeas corpus relief challenging a final order of removal. Because this Court lacks jurisdiction over this petition, it has no jurisdiction to review petitioner's

request for a stay and any further requests for a stay should be made to the Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

On May 11, 2005, Congress enacted the Real ID Act, which became effective that same day. REAL ID Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-13, § 106(b), 119 Stat. 231 (2005). Specifically, section 106(a)(1)(B), amends § 242(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, as amended ("INA"), 8 U.S.C. § 1252 (2000), and adds the following jurisdictional provision:

(5) Exclusive Means of Review – Notwithstanding any other provision of law (statutory or nonstatutory), including section 2241 of Title 28, United States Code, or any other habeas corpus provision, and sections 1361 and 1651 of such title, a petition for review filed with an appropriate court of appeals¹ in accordance with this section shall be the sole and exclusive means of judicial review of an order of removal entered or issued under any provision of this Act

REAL ID Act, § 106(a)(1)(B)(5). The REAL ID Act also provides that the "district court shall transfer . . . to the court of appeals any case "challenging a final administrative order of removal that is "pending in a district court" on the date the Act became effective--May 11, 2005. REAL ID Act of 2005, Pub. L. No. 109-13, § 106(c), 119 Stat. 231 (2005).

The instant petition was filed in this Court on August 1, 2005 and, according to the petition, seeks review of an order of removal entered by an

¹The "appropriate court of appeals" is "the court of appeals for the judicial circuit in which the immigration judge completed the proceedings." INA, § 242(b)(2), 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(2).

Immigration Judge on February 25, 2005. Petitioner does not challenge his continued detention and only seeks review of the order of removal.

The Court finds that since petitioner challenges an order of removal within the meaning of the REAL ID Act, § 106(b), INA, § 242(a)(5), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(5), this Court has no jurisdiction to review the merits of the petition or to stay the order of removal. Since the petition was not "pending" on May 11, 2005, this Court does not have the authority to transfer this case to the court of appeals. *Munoz v. Gonzalez*, No. 05 Civ. 6056 (SHS), 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14014 (S.D.N.Y. July 11, 2005).

As noted, the sole and exclusive means to review "an order of removal," such as the one challenged herein, is through a petition for review with the appropriate court of appeals². REAL ID Act, § 106(a)(1)(B). In this matter the Second Circuit Court of Appeals is the appropriate court of appeals because, according to the petition, the Immigration Judge completed the immigration proceedings in the Greene County Correctional Facility, which is located within the State of New York. INA, § 242(b)(2), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(2).

If Petitioner intends to appeal from this Order he must file any notice of appeal with the Clerk's Office, United States District Court, Western District of New York, within thirty (30) days of the date of judgment in this action. Requests to proceed on appeal as

²INA, § 242(b)(1), as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(1), provides that "[t]he petition for review must be filed **not later than 30 days** after the date of the final order of removal." (Emphasis added).

a poor person must be filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the Second

Circuit in accordance with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate

Procedure.

pauperis is granted, the petition is dismissed without prejudice to it being re-filed in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals and the request for a stay of removal is denied without prejudice to it being re-filed in the Second Circuit Court of Appeals.

FURTHER, that leave to appeal as a poor person is denied.

FURTHER, that to inform respondent of the filing and dismissal of the petition the Clerk of the Court shall serve the petition and this Order upon the following:

- United States Attorney for the Western District of New York, 138
 Delaware Avenue, Buffalo, New York 14202;
- James W. Grable, District Counsel for the Department of Homeland
 Security, 130 Delaware Avenue, Room 203, Buffalo, New York 14202.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA

CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Dated: